

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/785,439 02/24/2004 Leonard Michael Walsh EH-10764 8518 **EXAMINER** 30188 7590 06/16/2005 **PRATT & WHITNEY** WILSON, LEE D **400 MAIN STREET** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER MAIL STOP: 132-13 EAST HARTFORD, CT 06108 3723

DATE MAILED: 06/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/785,439 | WALSH, LEONARD MICHAEL |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | | LEE D. WILSON | 3723 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | |
| Status | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | _• | |
| 2a)⊠ | This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This | action is non-final. | |
| 3)[| Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-57</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-21,24 and 25</u> is/are allowed. | | | |
| | 6) Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-14,16-19 and 26-57</u> is/are rejected. | | |
| 7) Claim(s) 6 and 15 is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | |
| See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | |
| | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | |
| 3) Inform | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) D Notice of Informal Pa | atent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: S. Patent and Trademark Office | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/785,439 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 22-23, 27-28, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- 2. Regarding claim 22, the phrase "the same" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).
 - a. What is a P-clamp what type of structure does it have in claim 23.
 - b. What shape is being talked about because a clamp can have any shape as stated in claim 27.

C.·

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/785,439 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

2. Claims 1-5, 7-14, and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipted by Hobday (5454551).

Hobday discloses a tool having a first jaw (16) which is stationary with a retainer, a second jaw (114) actuator (172) which hand operated and a machine operated actuator (60) and this tool is clamp.

3. Claims 1-5, 7-8, 10-14, 16-17, and 26-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipted by Kott jr (6658711).

Kott jr discloses a tool having a first jaw (20) which is stationary with a retainer (32), a second jaw (14) actuator (15) which hand operated and a machine operated actuator (15) and this tool is clamp.

4. Claims 1-5,7-8, 10-14, 16-17, and 26-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipted by Benson (6658711).

Benson discloses a tool having a first jaw (20) which is stationary with a retainer (28), a second jaw (12) actuator (14) which hand operated and a machine operated actuator (14) and this tool is clamp.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 19-20, 21, 24-25 are allowed.
- 6. Claims 6 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 10/785,439 Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

7. Applicant's arguments filed 2/15/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- 8. Applicant had amended claims 1-19.
 - a. The amendment does not positively recite a clamp having a fastener therefore the old rejections apply.
- 9. Applicant submitted new claims.
 - b. The new claims have been reviewed.

Conclusion

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEE D. WILSON whose telephone number is 571-272-4499. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JOSEPH HAIL can be reached on 571-272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ldw

June 12, 2005

LEE D. WILSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Jahlan J